False Positive and Cross-Relation Signals in Distant Supervision Data

Anca Dumitrache, Lora Aroyo, Chris Welty

19 March 2018





Relation Extraction

Typically a classification task, given a:

• Sentence:

Willem van Alen is well known for being the architect of the Chrysler Building.

- pair of terms:
 - Willem van Alen
 - Chrysler Building
- relation: designed by



Is the relation is *expressed* between the terms in the sentence?

Distant Supervision

Mintz et al. ACL, 2009. *Distant Supervision for Relation Extraction without Labeled Data*. Welty et al, NAACL-HLT 2010. *Large Scale Relation Detection*.

- state-of-the-art unsupervised algorithm for relation extraction
- main assumption: if a (large) KB contains a relation R between a pair of entities, any sentence that contains that pair is likely to express R
 - reduces relation extraction to entity resolution
- data:
 - text corpus
 - knowledge base of relation triples (e.g. Freebase, KG, DBpedia, IMdB)
- features:
 - syntactic: *dependency paths between the entities*
 - lexical: words, part of speech, word position in the sentence
- **model:** logistic regression (Mintz), dep. path patterns (Welty)

Issues with Distant Supervision

What happens when the knowledge base *term pair is in the sentence*, but the *relation is not*?

- ✓ Willem van Alen is well known for being the architect of the Chrysler Building.
- **Willem van Alen** received the **Chrysler Building** commission from Walter Chrysler.
- **X** Willem van Alen was there when the Chrysler Building first opened to the public.



Issues with Distant Supervision

Cross-relation signals are not modeled in Distant Supervision.

Allen **architect of** Chrysler Building ⇒ Allen **designed** Chrysler Building

Allen **designed** Chrysler Building ⇒ Allen **creator of** Chrysler Building



Issues with Distant Supervision

- **Problem:** DS has errors caused by
 - **false positives** when term pair from KB is in sentence but relation is not
 - false negatives because cross-relation signals ignored
- **Solution:** crowdsourcing to identify and correct errors in DS

CrowdTruth

- Methodology for crowdsourcing ground truth
- Annotator disagreement is **signal**, **not noise**
- It is indicative of the variation in human semantic interpretation
- It can indicate ambiguity, vagueness, similarity, over-generality, as well as quality

Approach: use CrowdTruth to identify and correct errors in DS

Crowdsourcing Setup

The sentence:

There were performances by Chester Bennington, lead singer for Linkin Park, CAMP FREDDY members Chris Chaney and DAVE NAVARRO, Stephen Perkins of Jane's Addiction and Billy Morrison of the Cult.

STEP 1: Select ALL THE STATEMENTS between the terms DAVE NAVARRO and CAMP FREDDY that are expressed in the sentence above.

- DAVE NAVARRO is an organization with the alternate name CAMP FREDDY headquarters of DAVE NAVARRO are/were located in CAMP FREDDY
- CAMP FREDDY is/was a subsidiary of DAVE NAVARRO
- CAMP FREDDY was founded by DAVE NAVARRO
- DAVE NAVARRO is a person with the alternate name CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is/was charged with CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is a person who lives/lived in CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is a person who died in CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is a person originating from CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is a person with the title of CAMP FREDDY

- DAVE NAVARRO is/was a member/employee of CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is/was a top member/employee of CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO died because of CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is the father/mother of CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is a person who is/was born in CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO attended school(s) CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is/was married to CAMP FREDDY
- DAVE NAVARRO is a person aged CAMP FREDDY

none of these

It is important that you understand what the different statements mean. Carefully read the EXAMPLE by hovering over each statement.

STEP 2a: Highlight in the sentence ONLY the words that support the statement(s) from STEP 1.

members

Bighlight ONLY the words that relate to the STATEMENT(S) you have selected in STEP1. DO NOT copy the whole sentence.

2,500 sentences, 15 workers / sentence

CrowdTruth metrics

• Sentence-Relation Score (SRS):

- the likelihood that a relation is expressed in the sentence
- = ratio of workers that picked the relation / all the workers that read the sentence
- False Positive Rate:
 - per relation ration of False Positive sentences
 - ground truth is SRS at 0.5 threshold

• Relation Causality Power:

 the probability that the presence of one relation implies the presence of another

$$RCP(R_i, R_j) = \frac{P(R_j | R_i) - P(R_j | \neg R_i)}{1 - P(R_j | \neg R_i)}$$

 $P(R_i)$: probability that relation R_i appears in a sentence

False Positive Ratio

Ratio of DS False Positives



False Positive Ratio

Ratio of DS False Positives



A few examples of why

"origin" relation:

- Donald Tsang is expected to win because the job is picked by a committee loyal to Hong Kong's political overlords in Beijing.
- Chinito Junior, owned by Valetska Radtke of NYC became the breed's first champion.

"place of death" relation:

- Peters Church in **Baltimore** memorializes **James Lowry Donaldson**.
- Benedict V (born in Rome; died July 4, 966), Pope from 964 to 966, was elected by the Romans on the death of Pope John XII (955–964).

A few examples of why

"origin" relation:

- Donald Tsang is expected to win because the job is picked by a committee loyal to Hong Kong's political overlords in Beijing.
- Chinito Junior, owned by **Valetska Radtke** of **NYC** became the breed's first champion.

"place of death" relation:

- Peters Church in **Baltimore** memorializes **James Lowry Donaldson**.
- Benedict V (born in Rome; died July 4, 966), Pope from 964 to 966, was elected by the Romans on the death of Pope John XII (955–964).

Term pair from KB is in the sentence, but the relation is not expressed, causing False Positive problem.

Relation Causality Power

Relation i	Relation j	Crowd RCP	DS RCP
Place of Birth	Origin	0.64	-0.6
Origin	Place of Birth	0.88	-0.2
Place of Residence	Place of Death	-0.1	0.45
Top Employee of	Employee of	0.86	0.24
Employee of	Top Employee of	0.82	0.66

Relation i causes Relation j

Relation Causality Power

Relation i	Relation j	Crowd RCP	DS RCP
Place of Birth	Origin	0.64	-0.6
Origin	Place of Birth	0.88	-0.2
Place of Residence	Place of Death	-0.1	0.45
Top Employee of	Employee of	0.86	0.24
Employee of	Top Employee of	0.82	0.66

Relation i causes Relation j

DS does not capture *Place of Birth ⇔ Origin, Top Employee ⇒ Employee* results in False Negative problem.

Experimental Setup

- Goal: fix DS with crowd results
- Method: compare results from relation extraction trained with
 - **DS:** baseline, 235 000 sentences
 - **DS merged:** manually merged relations with symmetric RCP (*origin & place of birth; employee of & top employee of*)
 - **DS** + **RCP**: when R_i has a positive DS label for a sentence, the labels of all other R_j are updated as += $RCP(R_i, R_j)$
 - **DS** + **FP**: removed relations with high FP rate (*place of death, origin*) when other relation is present
- Model:
 - convolutional neural network
 (Nguyen et al. "Relation Extraction: Perspective from Convolutional Neural Networks." NAACL. 2015.)
 - 3 layers: convolutional, max pooling, logistic regression
 - loss function: sigmoid cross-entropy over continuous values
 - features: word2vec word embeddings, position embeddings

Experimental Results

	Precision	Recall	F1 score
DS	0.19	0.22	0.2
DS merged	0.43	0.33	0.37
DS + RCP	0.19	0.48	0.27
DS + FP	0.21	0.22	0.21

- Manual merging has high precision, less relations in the eval set probably one of the reasons.
- RCP can be used to fix False Negative problem.
- FP rate for fixing False Positive problem is still under investigation.

Conclusions

- DS has errors caused by
 - varying degree of false positives across different relations
 - causal connection between relations not considered by the DS method
- crowdsourcing can be used to correct DS in training a relation extraction classifier
- check us out at:
 - Project website: <u>http://CrowdTruth.org</u>
 - Data for this paper:

github.com/CrowdTruth/Open-Domain-Relation-Extraction

• Data for other related experiments: <u>http://data.CrowdTruth.org</u>